

Serial No. 10/058,385  
Amdt. dated October 14, 2003  
Reply to Office Action of April 14, 2003

Docket No. MRE-0052

### REMARKS

Claims 1-29 are pending in this application. By this Amendment, the drawings, specification, and claim 1-6 are amended, and claims 7-29 are added. The specification has been amended for clarification purposes and contains no new matter. Support for the amended and new claims can be found throughout the specification, including the original claims, and the drawings. Reconsideration in view of the above amendments and the following remarks is respectfully requested.

#### I. ALLOWABLE SUBJECT MATTER

The Examiner is thanked for the indication that claims 1-3 are allowed. By this Amendment, claims 1-3 are amended for clarification purposes only.

#### II. DRAWINGS

The Office Action objects to the drawings as failing to comply with 37 C.F.R. 1.84(p)(5). The specification has been amended at page 2, line 27 to indicate that the sensor dog and sensor brake are not shown in Figure 1. Further, Figure 4 is corrected to properly indicate a feature corresponding to reference numeral 62a. Accordingly, it is respectfully submitted that the drawings meet the requirements of 37 C.F.R. 1.84(p)(5), and thus the objection should be withdrawn.

Serial No. 10/058,385  
Amdt. dated October 14, 2003  
Reply to Office Action of April 14, 2003

Docket No. MRE-0052

### III. REJECTION UNDER 35 U.S.C. §112, SECOND PARAGRAPH

The Office Action rejects claims 4-6 under 35 U.S.C. §112, second paragraph as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The amendments made to claims 4-6 are responsive to the Examiner's comments. Accordingly, it is respectfully submitted that claims 4-6 meet the requirements of 35 U.S.C. §112, second paragraph, and thus the rejection should be withdrawn.

### IV. NEW CLAIMS 7-29

Claims 7-29 are added to the application. It is respectfully submitted that claims 7-29 are allowable over the references of record.

### V. CONCLUSION

In view of the foregoing amendments and remarks, it is respectfully submitted that the application is in condition for allowance. If the Examiner believes that any additional changes would place the application in better condition for allowance, the Examiner is invited to contact the undersigned attorney, Carol L. Druzick, at the telephone number listed below.


To the extent necessary, a petition for an extension of time under 37 C.F.R. 1.136 is hereby made. Please charge any shortage in fees due in connection with the filing of this,

Serial No. 10/058,385  
Amdt. dated October 14, 2003  
Reply to Office Action of April 14, 2003

Docket No. MRE-0052

concurrent and future replies, including extension of time fees, to Deposit Account 16-0607 and  
please credit any excess fees to such deposit account.

Respectfully submitted,  
FLESHNER & KIM, LLP



John C. Eisenhart  
Registration No. 38,128  
Carol L. Druzbeck  
Registration No. 40,287

Enclosures:

Mark-up of Figure 4  
Corrected Formal Version of Figure 4

P.O. Box 221200  
Chantilly, VA 20153-1200  
703 502-9440 JCE/kam:jl  
Date: October 14, 2003

Please direct all correspondence to Customer Number 34610



Application No. 10/058,385  
Amendment Dated October 14, 2003  
Reply to Office Action of April 14, 2003  
Annotated Sheet Showing Changes

FIG. 4

